

## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address :

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR SERIAL NUMBER FILING DATE ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 07/858.548 03/27/92 PECORINO P 6249/07028(G EXAMINER RO.B DARBY & DARBY 21M1 805 THIRD AVENUE PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT NEW YORK, NY 10022 2107 DATE MAILED: 04/22/93 This is a communication from the examiner in charge of your application. COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  $\bowtie$  Responsive to communication filed on 1-28-93  $\bowtie$  This action is made final. ☐ This application has been examined A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire\_ Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned. 35 U.S.C. 133 THE FOLLOWING ATTACHMENT(S) ARE PART OF THIS ACTION: Part I 1. Notice of References Cited by Examiner, PTO-892. 2. Notice re Patent Drawing, PTO-948. 3. Notice of Art Cited by Applicant, PTO-1449. Notice of informal Patent Application, Form PTO-152. 4. Information on How to Effect Drawing Changes, PTO-1474. 5. Part II **SUMMARY OF ACTION** 1-8, 12-13, 16-22 9-11, 14-15 ☐ Claims 6. Ciaims are subject to restriction or election requirement. 7. This application has been filed with informal drawings under 37 C.F.R. 1.85 which are acceptable for examination purposes. 8. X Formai drawings are required in response to this Office action. 9. The corrected or substitute drawings have been received on \_ . Under 37 C.F.R. 1.84 these drawings are acceptable. not acceptable (see explanation or Notice re Patent Drawing, PTO-948). 10. The proposed additional or substitute sheet(s) of drawings, filed on 28 Jan. 93 has (have) been of approved by the examiner. disapproved by the examiner (see explanation). Fig. 28) 11. The proposed drawing correction, filed on ... \_\_\_, has been approved. disapproved (see explanation). 12. Acknowledgment is made of the claim for priority under U.S.C. 119. The certified copy has Deen received not been received not been received been filed in parent application, serial no. \_\_; filed on . 13. 

Since this application appears to be in condition for allowance except for formal matters, procedution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213. 14. Other

Serial Number: 07/858548 -2-Art Unit: 2107

## PART III SECOND OFFICE ACTION

1. Applicant's drawing correction should be submitted to PTO for approval as soon as possible. This application would not be allowable unless the drawing correction has been approved.

- 2. The drawings are objected to under 37 C.F.R. § 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the panel moved horizontally (claim 7), the remote control (claims 8,16,17), and manually operated moving means (claim 5) must be shown or the feature cancelled from the claim. No new matter should be entered.
- 3. Claims 1-8,12-13,16-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The claims are rejected because of the following reasons:

Claims 1,18,19, inside the preamble, the expression "and the like" is indefinite.

Claim 4, line 2, the phrase "said cover" lacks proper antecedent basis. This phrase should be changed to --said cover means--.

Claim 17, line 1, the preamble "A housing" is inconsistent with the independent claim 18 "Cover unit" which claim 17 depends upon.

In all independent claims (1,18,19), the first phrase "Cover unit" should be changed to --A cover unit-- because all dependent claims used "A cover unit", therefore, the independent claims should use the same to be consistent.

4. Claims 1-8, 12-13, 16-22 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 112.

Serial Number: 07/858548

Art Unit: 2107

- 5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new grounds of rejection. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See M.P.E.P. § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a).

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE TO THIS FINAL ACTION IS SET TO EXPIRE THREE MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS ACTION. IN THE EVENT A FIRST RESPONSE IS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION AND THE ADVISORY ACTION IS NOT MAILED UNTIL AFTER THE END OF THE THREE-MONTH SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD, THEN THE SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD WILL EXPIRE ON THE DATE THE ADVISORY ACTION IS MAILED, AND ANY EXTENSION FEE PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a) WILL BE CALCULATED FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THE ADVISORY ACTION. IN NO EVENT WILL THE STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE EXPIRE LATER THAN SIX MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Bentsu Ro at telephone number (703) 308-3656.

Ro, April 17, 1993